

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

MERCE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/539,089	12/14/2005	Tomi Veikonheimo	034382-004	9066
	7590 07/19/2007 INGERSOLL & ROON	EXAMINER		
BUCHANAN, INGERSOLL & ROONEY PC POST OFFICE BOX 1404			VENNE, DANIEL V	
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1404			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3617	
				•
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
		•	07/19/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/539,089	VEIKONHEIMO ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Daniel V. Venne	3617			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICA 36(a). In no event, however, may a repl vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH cause the application to become ABAN	ATION. y be timely filed  IS from the mailing date of this communication.  NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 July 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final.				
Disposition of Claims					
4)  Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)  Claim(s) 1-14 is/are rejected. 7)  Claim(s) 1,4-8,11 and 13 is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
Application Papers	,				
<ul> <li>9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner</li> <li>10) The drawing(s) filed on 15 June 2005 is/are: a)</li> <li>Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction</li> <li>11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner</li> </ul>	☑ accepted or b)☐ objected accepted or b)☐ objected drawing(s) be held in abeyanced ion is required if the drawing(s)	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6/19/2007.	Paper No(s)/N	nmary (PTO-413) Mail Date rmal Patent Application			

Application/Control Number: 10/539,089 Page 2

Art Unit: 3617

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Specification

1. The specification is objected to as failing to provide proper antecedent basis for the claimed subject matter. See 37 CFR 1.75(d)(1) and MPEP § 608.01(o). Correction of the following is required: The specification describes "flow plates" which are features indicative of the propeller caps; however, the amended claims recite "flow blades" which is inconsistent with the terminology used throughout the specification.

### Claim Objections

2. Claims 1, 4-8, 11 and 13 are objected to because of the following informalities:

Claims 1, 4-8, 11 and 13 recite the terms "flow blades" or "blades" for the feature described in the specification as "flow plates" or "plates".

Appropriate correction is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Varis (WO 0154971 A1), in view of Parsons (NO 10907). Varis teaches all of the claimed features, with the exception of at least two equally distributed flow plates arranged on the cap of the forward propeller radially projecting from the cap. Parsons teaches a propeller hub (boss) cap (cone) (Figs. 3-4) comprising a plurality of equally

Application/Control Number: 10/539,089

Art Unit: 3617

spaced flow plates (blades or vanes) [v] projecting from the cap in a radial direction with no inclination and without extending beyond the diameter of the cap for reducing cavitation and enhancing flow characteristics. Therefore, it would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains to modify to provide a propeller cap with flow plates as taught by Parsons for the forward propeller hub of Varis to create the invention as claimed by applicant. The motivation would have been to reduce or minimize cavitation effects.

Page 3

5. Claims 1-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Varis (WO 0154971 A1), in view of Parsons (GB 9792) (which is disclosed by applicant on IDS received 6/19/2007 and is essentially the same as Parsons (NO 10907). Varis teaches all of the claimed features, with the exception of at least two equally distributed flow plates arranged on the cap of the forward propeller radially projecting from the cap. Parsons teaches a propeller hub (boss) cap (cone) (Figs. 3-4) comprising a plurality of equally spaced flow plates (blades or vanes) [v] projecting from the cap in a radial direction with no inclination and without extending beyond the diameter of the cap for reducing cavitation and enhancing flow characteristics. Therefore, it would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains to modify to provide a propeller cap with flow plates as taught by Parsons for the forward propeller hub of Varis to create the invention as claimed by applicant. The motivation would have been to reduce or minimize cavitation.

# Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-11 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Reuter et al. (US 2004/0147182 A1) discloses a thruster with forward propeller [3] and aft propeller [4] and hubcaps [14, 15].

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Daniel V. Venne whose telephone number is (571) 272-7947. The examiner can normally be reached between 7:30AM - 4:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Samuel J. Morano can be reached on (571) 272-6684. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

16 July 2007

LARS A. OLSON PRIMARY EXAMINER